Item Statistics:

Sample Size	20	20	20	20	20	20	20
Number Missing.		0	And And Andrews		0	0	
Mean	1.15	1.20	1.40	1.40	1.60	1.80	1.80
Variance	0.13	0.17	98.0	960		0.0	.0.50
Standard Deviation	0.37	0.41	09.0	09.0	0.82	0.89	0.77
Standard Error	90.0	60.0	6:13	0,13	0.18	0.20	21.0
Minimum	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Maximum	2.00	2,00	3.00	3:00	3:00	4,00	3.00
Median	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	2.00	2.00
Range	1.00	1.00	2.00	2.00	2,00	3.00	2.00
Sum	23.00	24.00	28.00	28.00	32.00	36.00	36.00
Sum of Squares	29.00	32.00	46.00		64.00	80.00	76.00
Skewness	-36.18	-29.24	-14.99	-14.99	-8.66	-9.53	-15.07
Kurtosis		-181.06	-7428	-74.98	.35.76	An An	10.01
T Value	14.04	13.08	10.47	10.47	8 72	20.01	40.40
Mean Abs. Dev.		1.20		7 t-0-	0.12	9.00	10.48
Percentile (25)	1.00	100	100	1.40	1,00	7.8U	1.80
Percentile (50)		1.00	90:-	00.1	00.1	1.00	1.00
Percentile (75)	1.00	100	0000	0000	1.00	2:00	2.00
Inter Ort Bondo		00.1	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Con Internal (40/)	i digit	0.00	7,00	1,00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Coll. Interval (1%)	0.92	0.94	1.02	1.02	1.07	1.23	1.31
Con. Interval (5%)	0.98	1.01	1.12	1.12	1.2	1.38	1,44
Con. Interval (95%)	1.32	1.39	1.68	1.68	1.98	2.22	2.16
Con. Interval (99%)	1,38	1.46	1.78	1.78	2.13	2,37	2.29


Sample Size	20	20	20	20	20	20	20
Number Missing		0	0	0	Ö	0	
Mean	1.55	1.40	1.75	1.60	1.55	2.00	1.65
Variance	0.47	0.46	0,83	0.46	62.0	1.05	0.66
Standard Deviation	69.0	0.68	0.91	0.68	0.89	1.03	0.81
Standard Error	0.15	0.15	0.20	0.15	0.20	0.23	0.18
Minimum	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Maximum	3.00	3.00	3.00	3,00	4.00	4.00	3,00
Median	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.50	1.00	2.00	1.00
Range	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	3,00	3,00	2.00
Sum	31.00	28.00	35.00	32.00	31.00	40.00	33.00
Sum of Squares	27.00	48.00	77.00		63.00	100.00	67.00
Skewness	-13.47	-10.18	-8.31	-15.20	-6.24	-8.66	-9.79
Kurtosis	-64,43	-44.35	-33.81	-75.67	-23.09	-35.76	-42.08
T Value	10.10	9.20	8.60	10.51	7.81	8.72	90.6
Mean Abs. Dev.	1.55	1.40	1.75	1.60	1.55	2.00	1.65
Percentile (25)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Percentile (50)	1.00	1.00	1,00	1.50	1.00	2.00	1.00
Percentile (75)	2.00	2.00	3.00	2.00	2.00	3.00	2.00
Inter Ort. Range	1.00	1.00	2.00	1.00	1.00	2.00	1.00
Con. Interval (1%)		96.0	1.17	1.16	0.98	1.34	1.13
Con. Interval (5%)	1.23	1.08	1.32	1.28	1.13	1.52	1.27
Con. Interval (95%)	1.87	1.72	2.18	1.92	1.97	2.48	2.03
Con. Interval (99%)	1.99	1.84	2.33	2.04	2,12	2.66	2.17

Sample Size	20	20	20	20	20	20	20
Number Missing	Ó	0	0	0		0	0
Mean	1.95	1.40	1.50	1.90	1.70	1.70	1.80
Variance	1.00	0.46	0.58	1.46	0.36	0.85	1,12
Standard Deviation	1.00	0.68	0.76	1.21	0.98	0.92	1.06
Standard Error	0.22	0.15	0.17	0.27	0.22	0.21	0.24
Minimum	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Maximum	4.00	3,00	3.00	2,00	4.00	4.00	4.00
Median	2.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Range	3:00	2.00	2.00	4.00	3.00	3.00	3.00
Sum	39.00	28.00	30.00	38.00	34.00	34.00	36.00
Sum of Squares	95,00	48.00		100,00	76,00	74.00	86.00
Skewness	-8.71	-10.18	-8.96	4.53	-6.13	-7.30	-5.79
Kurtosis	-36.00	-44.35	-37.41	-15.08	-22.54	-28.46	-20.88
T Value	8.73	9.20	8.82	7.02	7.77	8.23	7.62
Mean Abs. Dev.	1,95	1.40	1.50	1.90	1.70	1.70	1.80
Percentile (25)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Percentile (50)	2,00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1:00	1.00	001
Percentile (75)	3.00	2.00	2.00	3.00	2.50	2.00	2.50
Inter Ort. Range	2.00	1.00	1,00	2.00	1.50	1,00	1.50
Con. Interval (1%)	1.31	96.0	1.01	1.13	1.07		1.12
Con. Interval (5%)	1.48	1.08	1.14	1.33	1.24	1.27	1.31
Con. Interval (95%)	2.42	1.72	1.86	2.47	2.16	2.13	2.29
Con. Interval (99%)	2.59	1,84	1.99	2.67	2.33	2,29	2.48

Sample Size	20	20	20	19	20
Number Missing	9	0	•		9
Mean	1.80	1.75	3.40	2.47	1.95
Variance	1.22.	0.93	- 0.78	1,04	97'0
Standard Deviation	1.1	. 16.0	0.88	1.02	0.51
Standard Error	0.25	0.22	0.20	0.23	0.11
Minimum	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Maximum	4,00	7.00	4.00	2.00	3.00
Median	1.00	1.00	4.00	2.00	2.00
Range	3.00	3.00	3.00	4.00	2.00
Sum	36.00	35.00	68.00	47.00	39.00
Sum of Squares	98.00	79.00	246.00	135.00	81.00
Skewness	-5.06	-6.94	-66.87	-17.70	-65.22
Kurtosis	-17.4	-26.62	-545.49	-98.81	-527.62
T Value	7.28	8.10	17.23	10.57	17.09
Mean Abs. Dev.	1.80	1.75	3.40	2.60	1.95
Percentile (25)	1.00	1.00	3.00	2.00	2.00
Percentile (50)	1.00		4.00	2.00	2.00
Percentile (75)	3.00	2.50	4.00	3.00	2.00
Inter Qrt. Range	2.00	1.50	1.00	1,00	00'0
Con. Interval (1%)	1.09	1.13	2.84	1.80	1.62
Con. Interval (5%)	1,28	1.30	2.99	1.98	1,71
Con. Interval (95%)	2.32	2.20	3.81	2.97	2.19
Con. Interval (99%)	2.51	2.37	3:36	3.15	2.28


Item Analysis: The instructor was knowledgeable about the subject of the course.

Strongly Agree 1	17 85.00
Agree 2	3 15.00
Neutral 3	0 0.00
Disagree 4	0.00
Strongly Disagree 5	0 0.00
Total Valid	20 100.00




Item Analysis: The instructor was always well prepared and organized for class.

Strongly Agree 1	16 80.00
Agree 2 Neutral 3	4 20.00
Disagree 4	0 0.00 0 0.00
Strongly Disagree 5	0 0.00
Total Valid	20 100.00




Item Analysis: The instructor gave clear and reasonable course objectives and requirements.

Strongly Agree 1	13 65.00
Agree 2 Neutral 3	6 30.00 1 5.00
Disagree 4 Strongly Disagree 5	0 0.00
Total Valid	20 100.00




Item Analysis: The instructor required a manageable amount of course work.

		a. (4)(4)
Strongly Agree 1	13	65.00
Agree 2; Neutral 3	6	30.00 5.00
Disagree 4	0	0.00
Strongly Disagree 5	0	0.00
Total Valid	20	100.00




Item Analysis: The instructor successfully clarified and explained difficult materials and topics.

Strongly Agree 1	12	60.00
Agree 2	4	20.00
Neutral 3	4	20.00
- Disagree 4	0	0.00
Strongly Disagree 5	0	0.00
Total Valid	20	100.00




Item Analysis: The instructor seemed to know when students did not understand something.

Strongly Agree 1	9 45.00
Agree 2 Neutral 3	7 35.00 3 15.00
Disagree 4 Strongly Disagree 5	1 5.00 0 0.00
Total Valid	20 100.00




Item Analysis: The instructor gave me helpful feedback on my work.

			11 848	93 [1]	
Strongl	ly Agree	1		8	40.00
	Agree Neutral	2 + 3		8 4	40.00 20.00
Strongly D	Disagree Disagree	4 5	¥5.	0 0	0.00 0.00
	tal Valid	1 = 41		20	100.00




Item Analysis: The instructor was available outside of class to speak with me.

Strongly Agree 1	11 55.00
Agree 2	7 35.00
Neutral 3	2 10.00
Disagree 4	0.00
Strongly Disagree 5	0 0.00
Total Valid	20 100.00




Item Analysis: The instructor created an atmosphere of mutual respect conducive to learning.

Strongly Agree 1	14 70.00
Agree 2 Neutral 3	47 20.00 2 10.00
Disagree 4 Strongly Disagree 5	0 0.00 0 0.00
Total Valid	20 100.00




Item Analysis: The instructor helped me integrate what I learned to my experiences outside class.

Strongly A	Agree	1	11	55.00
RESIDENCE OF THE STATE OF THE S	Igree eutral	2 3	6 (1)	15.00 30.00
Disa Strongly Disa	i gree igree	* 4 * 5	0	0.00
Total			20	100.00




Item Analysis: The instructor returned written work promptly.

Strongly Agree 1	10 50.00
Agree 2	8 40.00
Neutral 3	2 10.00
Disagree 4	0 0.00
Strongly Disagree 5	0 0.00
Total Valid	20 100.00




Item Analysis: Tests and assignments reflected what was taught.

Strongly Agree 1		13	65.00
Agree 2 Neutral 3		4 2	20.0 0 10.00
Disagree 4 Strongly Disagree 5	artis 🏖 💱	0	5.00 0.00
Total Valid		20	100.00




Item Analysis: Tests and assignments were graded fairly.

		1 1 1 1	
Strongly Agree	1	9	45.00
Agree	2	. 3	15.00
Neutral	3	7	35.00
Disagree	4	1	5.00
Strongly Disagree	5	0	0.00
Total Valid	Control of the	20	100.00




Item Analysis: I became enthusiastic as a result of my instructors presentation.

Strongly Agree 1	11 55.00
Agree - 2	5 25.00
Neutral 3	4 20.00
Disagree 4	0 0.00
Strongly Disagree 5	0.00
Total Valid	20 100.00




Item Analysis: I was motivated to work hard by what we did in class.

Strongly Agree 1	9 45.00
Agree 2	4 20.00
Neutral 3	6 30.00
Disagree 4	1 5.00
Strongly Disagree 5	0 0.00
. Total Valid	20 100.00




Item Analysis: I did not have trouble understanding when my instructor spoke.

Strongly Agree 1	14 70.00
Agree 2 Neutral 3	4 20.00 2 10.00
Disagree 4	0 0.00
Strongly Disagree 5	0 0.00
Total Valid	20 100.00




Item Analysis: I was encouraged to think critically about what we were studying.

Strongly Agree 1	13 65.00
Agree 2	4 20.00
Neutral 3	3 15.00
Disagree 4	0.00
Strongly Disagree 5	0 0.00
Total Valid	20 100.00




Item Analysis: I felt comfortable asking questions in class.

Strongly Agree 1	11 55.00
Agree 2 Neutral 3	3 15.00 4 20.00
Disagree 4 Strongly Disagree 5	1 5.00 1 5.00
Total Valid	20 100.00




Item Analysis: I was challenged intellectually by this class.

Strongly Agree 1	12 60.00
Agree 2 Neutral 3	3 15.00 4 20.00
Disagree 4 Strongly Disagree 5	1 5.00 0 0.00
Total Valid	20 100.00




Item Analysis: I felt that my instructor really cared about student progress.

Strongly Agree 1	11 55.00
: Agree 2	5 25.00
Neutral 3	3 15.00
Disagree 4	1 5.00
Strongly Disagree 5	0 0.00
Total Valid	20 100,00




Item Analysis: I felt free to express myself in class.

		_	•
Strongly Agree '	1	11	55.00
Agree 2	2	4	20.00
Neutral 3	3	3	15.00
Disagree 2	l d	2	10.00
Strongly Disagree 5	5	0	0.00
Total Valid		20	100.00




Item Analysis: I had my interest in the subject matter stimulated by this class.

Strongly Agree 1	12 60.00
. Agree 2	2 10.00
Neutral 3	4 20.00
Disagree 4	2 10.00
Strongly Disagree 5	0 0.00
Total Valid	20 100.00




Item Analysis: I would recommend this course to other students.

	Strongly Agree 1	11 55.00
	Agree 2	4 20.00
	Neutral 3	4 20.00
	Disagree 4	1 5.00
	Strongly Disagree 5	0 0.00
ĺ	Total Valid	20 100.00




Item Analysis: I am a (Freshman, Sophomore, Junior, Senior, Graduate)

Freshman 1	1 5.00
Sophomore 2	2 10.00
Junior 3	5 25.00
Senior 4	12 60.00
Graduate 5	0 0.00
Total Valid	2 0 100.00




Item Analysis: I missed class(es) during the semester.

1	. 1	3 15.00
2 3	2 3	7 35.00 7 35.00
4 5+	.4 ∜. 5	1 5.00 1 5.00
Total Missing	4	19 95.00
Total Missing Total	-1	1 5.00 20 100.00



Item Analysis: I expect my grade to be.

		A 1	3	15.00
		B 2	15	75.00
The state of the s	(C 3	2	10.00
		D 4	* (** (***) (***) (***) (***)	0.00
		F 5	0	0.00
	Fotal Vali	d	20	100.00



Suggestions for improvement are also welcome.

Dr Susan has been a wonderful professor. She heeps things simple and how a real group on students limitations and strengths. She has always been available for extra help because she cares we do well. I liked how she integrated media into the class and used audio, video and Black board to give us articles. Class was arganized and productive Amesone professor! رځ . () 0

Suggestions for improvement are also welcome.

for everyone in the class to succeed there were writical readings, assigned in addition to literature, which somers-Willet provided every apportunity By making herself cavoullable for us, we somether recieved aid in all ob cour assignments. My only outicism, nomen is many impacted the students in class, was focus more on the readings feet discussion geared forwards course mosterials. Other fran Most, Dr. Somers Whet is an amazing educator-

Suggestions for improvement are also welcome.

· very knowledgeable regarding subject however not fond of those who disagree.

· very sensative to topics of sexuality · use of videos and audios was helpful · unable to aces grade on blackboard.

Suggestions for improvement a					
I feel that the vague, without the grades we basically topic and grades	paper defined ere give was c	topics pres Specific en for a onfusing given spe	sented we cy. How in exact bund u ceffical	ere que ever, ide ndef	oto a finec
·					
·					

Suggestions for improvement are also welcome.


- GRADED PAPERS VERY STRICTLY.

- UN-CLEAR ON PAPER TOPICS.

OVERALL AN UNDERSTANDING PROFESSOR.

Suggestions for improvement are also welcome.

Dr. Somers-Willett is enthusiastic and Knowledgeable on her Subject. She had creative and interesting lessons.



/	hish	ve spert more
	1 her	a week on some of
		topics re discussed
	· ^	class.
		W.

Suggestions for improvement are also welcome.

Sne has passion for her job! It is easy to tell when sne teaches - her discussions involve both her opinion and students.

No	ideas.	May be	more	assig	h mehos?	I don	nk t
y an	has not Figured	crossed y	our m Wereks	Md	a Nyway, necessary.	so I Had	94855
in sen	SHing or	me.					
				•			
					·		

There w	nas a 10+ of	freading given at one
time, an	ed there ar	re only 2 paper assignments which made it hard to doing well. Other than other shan and cod.
and ap	vésel Helton i	which made it hard to
AX UN QU	rules Hnot	doing well. Other than
Hois His	610108 100 n	Horeston and COH
14/13/14/6	CICIZZ MORS I	Y THE CSIN CO OF COO.
	- Agricultural de la companya de la	
p	₩.	
~**		
: 7	9	
3	9	
***	y.	
	2. 2.6	
	>	
	3	
	*	
	ર્સ	

eatl	

Suggestions for improvement are also welcome.

Well done. Let's read + Write Some Poems next Semester

Suggestions for improvement are also welcome.

THE CLASS SUBJ. MATTER WAS NOT TO MY LIKING, BUT Prof. Somers. Luiner TAUGHT IT WI Clarity AND ENTHUSIASM. 3

Please include a trip to a live performance, or information all where to go. Extra credit would're been nice too.		TT-17		
	Deen ni	ce toss		
	_			
			•	
•				
		'		

Suggestions for improvement are also welcome.

The professor was amazing. I plan on taking more of her courses in the future. She really got me interested in poorty.

	•		
The class & a lot things	of student of Interesting.	interactive articipation	and incorporated which bept

Kind of a hard grader, but
very responsing explaining
why it something may have
been wrong. Very generous +
Hexibile in helping you
insprove your worksoverale
groone.